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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared to accompany a planning proposal for a mixed use development to be located at 629-639 Pacific Highway, Chatswood (Figures 1 and 2).

The planning proposal involves the rezoning of the land from B5 - Business Development to $B 4$ - Mixed Use, increasing the permissible FSR from 2.5:1 up to 6:1 and the subsequent increase of height controls from 20 m up to 90 m . The site is situated approximately 750 m walking distance south of Chatswood Railway Station \& Bus Interchange and is within easy walking distance to the Chatswood CBD.

The planning proposal envisages the construction of 81 new residential apartments above a ground and first floor level commercial/retail component.

Off-street parking will be provided in a new basement car parking area located beneath the building and will ultimately be designed to comply with Council and SEPP 65 requirements as well as the relevant Australian Standards. Vehicular access to the site is to be provided via a new entry/exit driveway located at the southern end of the Hammond Lane site frontage.

The purpose of this report is to assess the traffic and parking implications of the planning proposal and to that end this report:

- describes the site and provides details of the planning proposal
- reviews the road network in the vicinity of the site, and the traffic conditions on that road network
- reviews the public transport services available in the vicinity of the site
- estimates the traffic generation potential of the planning proposal and assigns that traffic generation to the road network serving the site
- assesses the traffic implications of the planning proposal in terms of road network capacity
- reviews the geometric design features of the proposed car parking and loading facilities for compliance with the relevant codes and standards
- assesses the adequacy and suitability of the quantum of off-street car parking and loading provided on the site.

This planning proposal is consistent with the population growth envisaged by the Chatswood CBD Planning and Urban Design Strategy (CCPUDS). It is noted in this regard that the "Future Conditions Report" undertaken by Arup in collaboration with Willoughby City Council and TfNSW compared the growth scenarios presented in the CCPUDS to the TfNSW base forecast to ascertain the likely impact on the future transport network. The "Future Conditions Report" found that:

- travel demand in Chatswood will increase significantly over the next 20 years
- Sydney Metro is a key driver for travel patterns in future years, leading to increased public transport mode shares and a significant uplift in the number of passengers using


## Chatswood Interchange

- despite the mode shift towards public transport, private vehicle trips will also increase in the horizon years, however results suggest that the road network has the capacity to accommodate the uplift
- the differences between the base and uplift scenarios on the road network occurred predominantly on the internal road network within the CBD, and
- the base and uplift scenarios had similar impacts on the surrounding arterial roads, such as the Pacific Highway and Mowbray Road.

In summary, the future conditions report found that the CCPUDS will have an impact in the same order of magnitude as the current TfNSW base forecast, and that the future transport network will be able to accommodate this demand.
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## 2. PLANNING PROPOSAL

## Site

The subject site is located on the south-eastern corner of the Pacific Highway and Gordon Avenue intersection, and extends through to Hammond Lane. The site has street frontages approximately 37 m in length to both the Pacific Highway and Hammond Lane and approximately 36 m in length to Gordon Avenue. The subject site occupies an area of approximately $1,185 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

The site is currently zoned B5-Business Development and is situated approximately 750m walking distance south of Chatswood Railway Station \& Bus Interchange via a dedicated offroad path parallel to the railway line.

The site is currently occupied by two commercial buildings operating as a car tyre retail / auto-service workshop. A recent aerial image of the site and its surroundings is reproduced below.


Source: Nearmap

Off-street parking is provided at various locations throughout the site, with vehicular access provided via two driveways located off the Pacific Highway, two driveways located off Gordon Avenue and two driveways located off Hammond Lane.

## Existing Planning Controls

The primary instrument that governs the mass and scale of the development on the site are contained within the Willoughby Local Environment Plan 2012 (WLEP 2012).

The subject site is currently zoned B5-Business Development and subject to a maximum FSR of $2.5: 1$, with the scale of any development on the site currently limited to a building height of 20 m .

It is therefore envisaged that a six-storey commercial building comprising $2,963 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ retail/commercial floor space is achievable under the existing planning controls for the site, resulting in an increase of approximately $2,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA.

Notwithstanding the above, it is understood that the site may soon be rezoned to $B 4$ - Mixed Use Zone, which would potentially have a prospective yield of approximately 30 apartments with a ground floor level commercial/retail component of approximately $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

## Planning Proposal

The planning proposal involves the rezoning of the land from $B 5$ - Business Development to $B 4$ - Mixed Use, increasing the permissible FSR from 2.5:1 up to 6:1 and the subsequent increase of height controls from 20 m up to 90 m . The proposed changes to the planning controls have the potential to achieve approximately 81 apartments as follows:

| 1 bedroom apartments: | 22 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 bedroom apartments: | 55 |
| 3 bedroom apartments: | 4 |
| TOTAL APARTMENTS: | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |

A number of commercial/retail tenancies are also proposed on the ground and first floor levels of the new building with a cumulative floor area of approximately $705 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Off-street parking will be provided in a new basement car parking area and will ultimately be designed to comply with Council and SEPP requirements, as well as the relevant Australian Standards. Vehicular access to the site is to be provided via a new entry/exit driveway located at the southern end of the Hammond Lane site frontage.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 applies to the site, given its frontage to the Pacific Highway. Clause 101(2) of the Infrastructure SEPP states the following:
"The consent authority must not grant consent to development on land that has a frontage to a classified road unless it is satisfied that:

- where practicable, vehicular access to the land is provided by a road other than the classified road, and
- the safety, efficiency and ongoing operation of the classified road will not adversely be affected by the development as a result of:
(i) the design of the vehicular access to the land, or
(ii) the emission of smoke or dust from the development, or
(iii) the nature, volume or frequency of vehicles using the classified road to gain access to the land, and
- the development is of a type that is not sensitive to traffic noise or vehicle emissions, or is appropriately located and designed, or includes measures, to ameliorate potential traffic noise or vehicle emissions within the site of the development arising from the adjacent classified road."

Consistent with the requirements of Clause 101(2), vehicular access to the site is to be provided via Hammond Lane, rather than the Pacific Highway, and the proposed development therefore complies with the requirements of the SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007.

Loading/servicing for the proposed development is expected to be undertaken by a variety of commercial vehicles up to and including 8.8 m long MRV medium rigid trucks. A dedicated service area is to be provided on the ground floor level adjacent to the vehicular access driveway which includes a large turntable, thereby allowing all service vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction at all times.

Concept plans of the planning proposal have been prepared by DEM (Aust) Pty Ltd and are reproduced in the following pages.
nominated architects: Rudi Valla BArch (Hons) nsw reg no 6582 Jon Pizey BArch nsw reg no 6567

(1)TYPICAL BASEMENT PLAN
629-639 Pacific Highway Chatswood
(2)BASEMENT 2
-

$\oplus$




nominated architects: Rudi Valla BArch (Hons) nsw reg no 6582 Jon Pizey BArch nsw reg no 6567

(1)LEVEL 2 FLOOR PLAN
629-639 Pacific Highway Chatswood

(2)TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN L3-L13


(1)TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN L15-L25, Plant Room On L14 $\frac{\text { SCALE 1:250 }}{}$

629-639 Pacific Highway Chatswood
${ }_{\text {dem }}$



## 3. TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT

## Road Hierarchy

The road hierarchy allocated to the road network in the vicinity of the site by the Roads and Maritime Services is illustrated on Figure 3.

The Pacific Highway is classified by the RMS as a State Road and provides the key northsouth road link in the area, linking North Sydney to Hornsby and beyond. It typically carries three traffic lanes in each direction in the vicinity of the site, with opposing traffic flows separated by a central median island. Clearway restrictions apply during commuter peak periods.

The Gore Hill Freeway is also classified by the RMS as a State Road and provides the key east-west road link in the area, linking the Warringah Freeway to the Lane Cove Tunnel. It carries multiple traffic lanes in each direction in the vicinity of the site, with opposing traffic flows separated by a central median island. All intersections with the Gore Hill Freeway are grade-separated.

Mowbray Road is classified by the RMS as a Regional Road which provides another key east-west road link in the local area. It typically carries two traffic lanes in each direction in the vicinity of the site, with additional lanes provided at key locations.

Gordon Avenue is a local, unclassified road which is primarily used to provide vehicular and pedestrian access to frontage properties. Kerbside parking is generally permitted on both sides of the road.

Hammond Lane is a local, unclassified service lane which is primarily used to provide rear vehicular and pedestrian access to properties fronting the Pacific Highway. Kerbside parking is generally permitted along one side of the laneway only.


# Regional Road <br> State Road 
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ROAD HIERARCHY FIGURE 3

## Existing Traffic Controls

The existing traffic controls which apply to the road network in the vicinity of the site are illustrated on Figure 4. Key features of those traffic controls are:

- a $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ SPEED LIMIT which applies to the Pacific Highway
- a $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ SPEED LIMIT which applies to Gordon Avenue and all other local roads in the area
- TRAFFIC SIGNALS in the Pacific Highway where it intersects with Mowbray Road
- a CENTRAL MEDIAN ISLAND in the Pacific Highway which precludes right-turn movements into / out of Gordon Avenue
- a NO RIGHT TURN southbound restriction in the Pacific Highway for traffic turning onto Mowbray Road (Buses Excepted)
- a NO RIGHT TURN eastbound restriction in Mowbray Road for traffic turning onto the Pacific Highway (Buses Excepted).


## Existing Public Transport Services

The existing public transport services available within the vicinity of the subject site are illustrated on Figure 5.

The subject site is conveniently located within approximately 750 m walking distance south of Chatswood Railway Station via a dedicated off-road path parallel to the railway. Chatswood Station lies on the T1 North Shore, Northern \& Western Line, linking Berowra, Hornsby, Epping, Richmond and Emu Plains.

In addition to the train services, a major bus interchange is available outside of the Chatswood Railway Station servicing a number of bus routes, including the M40, 137, 257, 273, 136, L60, 267, 275, 143, 144 and 200 services.



Notably, route M40 is part of the Sydney's Metrobus network that provides high-frequency, high-capacity intra-regional links between key employment and growth centres across Sydney. The M40 links between Chatswood, Willoughby, Naremburn, Sydney City, Darlinghurst, Paddington, Woollahra and Bondi Junction, operating at 10 minute intervals during commuter peak periods, 15 minute intervals during the day and 20 minute intervals at other times.

There is also an extensive range of bus services available within 50 m walking distance north of the site along the Pacific Highway. A summary of those bus services is provided in the table below, revealing that there are more than 370 bus services per day travelling near the site on weekdays, decreasing to approximately 200 bus services per day on Saturdays and approximately 140 bus services per day on Sundays, as set out in the table below.

Bus Routes and Frequencies

| Route No. | Route | Weekday |  | Saturday |  | Sunday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| 143 | Manly to Chatswood | 32 | 27 | - | - | - | - |
| 144 |  | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 258 | Lane Cove Industrial to Chatswood | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 261 | Longueville \& Northwood to City | 24 | 25 | 11 | 11 | - | - |
| 530 | Burwood to Chatswood | 42 | 42 | 32 | 32 | 27 | 27 |
| 533 | Sydney Olympic Park to Chatswood | 8 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| 534 | Ryde to Chatswood | 31 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| 536 | Gladesville to Chatswood | 21 | 20 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  | 191 | 185 | 95 | 95 | 69 | 69 |

The site is also located within easy walking distance of the Chatswood City Centre which includes a wide range of essential shops and services including licenced clubs, banks, supermarkets, gymnasiums, restaurants and specialty stores.

On the above basis it is clear that the site is extremely well served by existing public transport and essential services and is ideally located to encourage reduced private car usage and an increased use of public transport and active forms of transport such as walking and cycling.

## Existing Pedestrian Paths

Existing pedestrian footpaths located in the vicinity of the site provide suitable links for pedestrians accessing local facilities such as schools and shops in the local area. The site is also located within easy walking distance of the Chatswood CBD located north of the subject site.

In particular, a shared Off-Road Pedestrian and Bicycle Path running parallel to the railway line is easily accessed directly from the eastern end of Gordon Avenue. This shared path allows pedestrians and bicycles to travel safely along a 750 m long off-road route which is linked directly to the Chatswood CBD, giving direct access to the Chatswood Railway Station.

## Local Bicycle Routes

The existing bicycle routes located in the vicinity of the site are illustrated on Figure 6. The bicycle routes are readily accessible from the subject site and provide a number of on-road and off-road bicycle links through the local area, including the following routes:

- to Chatswood CBD from Gordon Avenue via the shared Off-Road Pedestrian \& Bicycle Route running parallel to the railway line
- to Chatswood Public School from Gordon Avenue via the abovementioned dedicated shared Off-Road Pedestrian \& Bicycle Route running parallel to the railway line
- to Willoughby via the dedicated shared Off-Road Pedestrian \& Bicycle Route running parallel to the railway line and the on-road bicycle route via Johnson Street, Laurel Street \& Edinburgh Road
- to Crows Nest dedicated shared Off-Road Pedestrian \& Bicycle Route running parallel to the railway line, and the on-road bicycle route via Johnson Street, Devonshire Street, Shepherd Road \& the shared Off-Road Pedestrian \& Bicycle Route (starting along Weedon Road


The proposed development makes provision for a bicycle parking area which is to be located on the basement floor level, which can easily be accessible from Hammond Lane and will enhance the active transport options available to future occupants of the site.

## Chatswood to Sydenham

Sydney Metro is Australia's biggest public transport project, delivering 31 stations and 66 kilometres of new metro rail, and revolutionising the way Australia's biggest city travels.

Chatswood to Sydenham component of Sydney Metro City \& Southwest was the subject of a separate environmental assessment process in 2016 and was granted planning approval in January 2017. This proposal included a new 15.5 km twin railway tunnel, linking between the end of the Sydney Metro Northwest at Chatswood and Sydenham. Tunnel construction is currently underway, with tunnelling finished and construction progressing rapidly.

New, direct and fast services would be provided for key employment and education precincts in particular, these services will connect to Martin Place, Barangaroo, North Sydney, Chatswood and Macquarie Park, with interchanges to other rail services at Sydenham, Central and Martin Place. An indicative timeline of the construction schedule is provided below.

Overall construction timeline


Source: Transport for NSW, SydneyMetro
Two dive structures and tunnel portals are being built, including one located in Chatswood, approximately 100 m south of the subject site, on the eastern side of Pacific Highway, in between Nelson Street and Mowbray Road.

Nelson Street Bridge will be permanently closed to traffic from the middle of 2018, with motorists travelling north redirected via Mowbray Road, Orchard Road and Albert Avenue.

Whilst the 'dive site' will prohibit the redevelopment of that part of the Chatswood precinct for several years it is not expected to result in any unacceptable traffic implications on the proposed development.

In this regard, the construction access driveway for trucks exiting the dive site is to be located towards the western end of Nelson Street, with the driveway estimated to be used for construction until mid-2018. Light passenger vehicles will continue to use this access driveway along with the access driveways located along Mowbray Road until mid-2020.

Services for the Metro rail are expected to start in 2019 using Sydney's new-generation of fully-automated metro trains, with a metro train every four minutes during peak periods.


Source: Sydney Metro Construction Notification 27th February 2018 - Location of out-ofhours works at Chatswood Dive site, Pacific Highway, Mowbray Road and Nelson Street, Chatswood

## Existing Traffic Conditions

An indication of the existing traffic conditions on the road network in the vicinity of the site is provided by peak period traffic surveys undertaken as part of this traffic study.

The traffic surveys were undertaken at the Pacific Highway and Gordon Avenue intersection as well as the Gordon Avenue and Hammond Lane intersection. The results of the traffic surveys are reproduced in full in Appendix A and reveal that:

- southbound traffic flows in the Pacific Highway past the site frontage are typically in the order of 1,700 vehicles per hour ( vph ) during the weekday commuter peak periods
- two-way traffic flows in Gordon Avenue and Hammond Lane are significantly lower, typically in the order of 10-20 vph during the weekday commuter peak periods.


## Projected Traffic Generation

An indication of the traffic generation potential of the planning proposal is provided by reference to the Roads and Maritime Services publication Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, Section 3 - Landuse Traffic Generation (October 2002) and the updated traffic generation rates in the recently published RMS Technical Direction (TDT 2013/04a) document.

The TDT 2013/04a document specifies that it replaces those sections of the RMS Guidelines indicated, and that it must be followed when RMS is undertaken trip generation and/or parking demand assessments.

The RMS Guidelines and the updated TDT 2013/04a are based on extensive surveys of a wide range of land uses and nominate the following traffic generation rates which are applicable to the development proposal:

## High Density Residential Flat Dwellings

AM: $\quad 0.19$ peak hour vehicle trips per unit
PM: $\quad 0.15$ peak hour vehicle trips per unit

Office Blocks
AM: $\quad 1.6$ peak hour vehicle trips per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA
PM: $\quad 1.2$ peak hour vehicle trips per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA

The RMS Guidelines do not nominate a traffic generation rate for small, local shops, referring only to major regional shopping centres incorporating supermarkets and department stores.

As requested by Council, a first principle approach has been adopted in respect of the retail/commercial component of the development proposal, with the following assumptions applied:

- Assumed $40 \% / 60 \%$ split in retail/commercial non-residential land use
- Provision of 11 retail parking spaces (outlined in Chapter 4 of this report)
- Retail parking bays turnover once per hour
- Retail parking is assumed to be $50 \% / 90 \%$ occupied during the AM and PM peak hour (i.e. 11 trips TO/FROM and 20 trips TO/FROM)
- RMS trip rates used for commercial trip generation

Application of the above traffic generation rates to the various components of the planning proposal yields a traffic generation potential of approximately 34 vph during the morning commuter peak period and approximately 37 vph during the afternoon commuter peak period as set out below:

## Planning Proposal Projected Future Traffic Generation Potential

|  | AM | PM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Residential (81 apartments): | 15.4 vph | 12.2 vph |
| Commercial $\left(425 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ : | 6.8 vph | 5.1 vph |
| Retail $\left(280 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ : | 11.0 vph | 19.8 vph |
| TOTAL TRAFFIC GENERATION POTENTIAL: | $\mathbf{3 3 . 2} \mathbf{~ v p h}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 1} \mathbf{~ v p h}$ |

That projected future traffic generation potential which could occur as a consequence of the planning proposal should however, be offset or discounted by the volume of traffic which could reasonably be expected to be generated by a development permitted under the draft (or imminent) WLEP 2012 planning controls in order to determine the nett increase in the traffic generation potential of the site which occur as a consequence of the planning proposal.

Application of the abovementioned traffic generation rates to the development potential of the site under the imminent B4 Mixed Use WLEP 2012 planning controls yields a peak hour traffic generation potential of approximately 15 vph during the AM commuter peak period and a traffic generation potential of approximately 12 vph during the PM commuter peak period, as set out below:

## Draft WLEP Additional Traffic Generation Potential

|  | AM | PM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Residential (30 apartments): | 5.7 vph | 4.5 vph |
| Commercial/retail (600m ${ }^{2}$ ): | 9.6 vph | 7.2 vph |
| TOTAL TRAFFIC GENERATION POTENTIAL: | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3} \mathbf{~ v p h}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7} \mathbf{~ v p h}$ |

Accordingly, the planning proposal could result in a nett increase in the traffic generation potential of the site during commuter peak periods when compared with a development permissible under the exiting planning controls as set out below:

## Projected Nett Increase in the Traffic Generation Potential of the Site as a Consequence of the Planning Proposal

|  | AM | PM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Projected Future Traffic Generation Potential (Planning Proposal): | 33.2 vph | 37.1 vph |
| Less Permissible Traffic Generation Potential (Draft WLEP Controls): | -15.3 vph | -11.7 vph |
| NETT INCREASE IN TRAFFIC GENERATION POTENTIAL: | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9} \mathbf{~ v p h}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4} \mathbf{~ v p h}$ |

In practice however, it is likely that the traffic generation potential of the planning proposal will be less than is set out above given that car parking on the site is to be constrained in accordance with reduced parking rates consistent with discussions between Council and TfNSW, as detailed later in this report.

However, for the purposes of this assessment, it has been assumed that the site is currently vacant, and that all of the projected future traffic flows of 33 vph and 37 vph during the AM and PM commuter peak periods respectively will be new or additional to the existing traffic flows currently using the adjacent road network.

## Journey to Work Data Analysis

The directional distribution and assignment of traffic generated by the proposed development will be influenced by a number of factors, however by analysing the behaviour of the existing nearby residents and employees and how they travel, we can provide a guide to how the future residents and employees of the development may travel. These are based on certain characteristics that can be grouped depending on the:

- purpose for their journey
- the time period of their journey
- the mode or combination of modes of transport used from the origin to the destination

The study area is contained within Travel Zone 1807 (TZ1807) as defined in the 2011 Census Journey to Work (JTW) data. An analysis of the data shows that around $31 \%$ of work trips for those working and living in the Chatswood Precinct are undertaken by private transport - i.e. 147 people employed within the Chatswood Precinct area.


Source: Bureau of Transport Statistics, JTW 2011

The modal share of work trips for employed residents in the TZ1807 shows that both public transport (train and buses) and private transport (drivers and/or passengers) had a modal split of $38 \%$ within the travel zone, as shown tabulated below.

| Mode of Travel (Top 10 Destinations of Work) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place of Work | Number of Trips | Private Transport |  | Public Transport |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Walked } \\ \text { Only } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mode not } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ | Other | Total |
|  |  | Car | Car Passenge | Train | Bus |  |  |  |  |
| Chatswood Lane Cove | 475 | 31\% | 2\% | 11\% | 4\% | 40\% | 1\% | 11\% | 100\% |
| Sydney Inner City | 351 | 17\% | 5\% | 65\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% |
| North Sydney <br> - Mosman | 103 | 40\% | 3\% | 44\% | 9\% | 4\% |  |  | 100\% |
| Ryde Hunters Hill | 71 | 54\% | 4\% | 28\% | 4\% |  |  | 10\% | 100\% |
| Warringah | 38 | 68\% | 16\% |  | 16\% |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Ku-ring-gai | 31 | 71\% | 10\% | 19\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Eastern Suburbs North | 21 | 48\% |  | 38\% |  |  | 14\% |  | 100\% |
| Botany | 20 | 70\% | 15\% | 15\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Auburn | 16 | 81\% |  | 19\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Strathfield Burwood Ashfield | 16 | 81\% |  | 19\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Grand Total | 1251 |  | 38\% |  |  | 18\% | 1\% | 5\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| *Grand total includes all places of work <br> Mode Share* <br> for all places of work |  |  |  | Source: Bureau of Transport Statistics, JTW 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mode Share* for all places of work$34 \%$ Vehicle driver4\% Vehicle passenger$32 \%$ Train6\% Bus18\% Walked only1\% Mode not stated5\% Other mode |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chatswood-TZ1807 Places of Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In terms of travel modal split for residents residing in travel zone 1807, the figure below shows that $62 \%$ of the people working in the study area use car (as driver and as passengers) as the mode of travel, whilst $28 \%$ used public transport or other active forms of transport within the area (i.e. - train, buses, bicycle, walking).

## Mode Share*

for all places of residence


Travel Mode Split for TZ1807 - Place of Residence
The statistics show that of the 632 people living within the Chatswood study area TZ1807, $60 \%$ were vehicle drivers, this rate is therefore reasonable to be applied to the proposed development and will ultimately become re-distributed within the adjoining road network.

Notwithstanding the above, the assumed directional distribution of traffic has been adopted in accordance with the JTW data for the destination or place of work in the table previously mentioned. In order to provide a more rigorous assessment, it has been assumed that all of the projected future traffic flows of 33 vph and 37 vph during the AM and PM commuter peak periods respectively, has been assumed as vehicle drivers and has been applied to the road network / intersections in the vicinity of the site as illustrated on Figure 7 below.

The proposed additional traffic generation potential of the site as a consequence of the development proposal is statistically insignificant and will also clearly not have any unacceptable traffic implications in terms of road network capacity and downstream traffic issues, particularly when considered there are only 19 additional vehicles during the morning peak period and approximately 16 additional vehicles during the afternoon peak period


LEGEND: AM/PM Vehicles Per Hour

## Traffic Implications - Road Network Capacity

The traffic implications of development proposals primarily concern the effects that any additional traffic flows may have on the operational performance of the nearby road network. Those effects can be assessed using the SIDRA program which is widely used by the RMS and many LGA's for this purpose. Criteria for evaluating the results of SIDRA analysis are reproduced in the following pages.

The results of the SIDRA analysis of the Pacific Highway and Gordon Avenue intersection are summarised on Table 3.1 below, revealing that:

- the Pacific Highway and Gordon Avenue intersection currently operates at Level of Service " $A$ " under the existing traffic demands with total average vehicle delays in the order of less than 1 second/vehicle
- under the projected additional traffic demands which could be generated by a commercial building development permitted under the existing planning controls, the intersection would continue to operate at Level of Service "A" during the AM and PM commuter peak periods, with increases in average vehicle delays of less than 1 second/vehicle.
- under the projected future traffic demands expected to be generated by the planning proposal, the intersection would also continue to operate at Level of Service "A" during the AM and PM commuter peak periods, with increases in average vehicle delays of less than 1 second/vehicle.

In the circumstances, it is clear that the planning proposal will not have any unacceptable traffic implications in terms of road network capacity.

| TABLE 3.1- RESULTS OF SIDRA ANALYSIS OF PACIFIC HIGHWAY \& GORDON AVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Indicators | Existing Traffic Demand |  | Draft WLEPTraffic Demands$\left(600 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \& 30 \mathrm{Apts}\right)$ |  | Planning Proposal Traffic Demands ( $705 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \& 81 \mathrm{Apts}$ ) |  |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Degree of Saturation | 0.303 | 0.297 | 0.304 | 0.298 | 0.305 | 0.301 |
| Average Vehicle Delay (secs/veh) <br> Gordon Avenue (east) | 8.4 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 7.7 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Pacific Highway (north) } & \text { L } \\ & \text { T }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL AVERAGE VEHICLE DELAY | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

## Criteria for Interpreting Results of Sidra Analysis

## 1. Level of Service (LOS)

| LOS | Traffic Signals and Roundabouts | Give Way and Stop Signs |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 'A' | Good operation. | Good operation. |
| 'B' | Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity. | Acceptable delays and spare capacity. |
| 'C' | Satisfactory. | Satisfactory but accident study required. |
| 'D' | Operating near capacity. | Near capacity and accident study required. |
| 'E' | At capacity; at signals incidents will cause excessive <br>  <br> delays. Roundabouts require other control mode. | At capacity and requires other control mode. |
| 'F' | Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity. | Unsatisfactory and requires other control mode. |

## 2. Average Vehicle Delay (AVD)

The AVD provides a measure of the operational performance of an intersection as indicated on the table below which relates AVD to LOS. The AVD's listed in the table should be taken as a guide only as longer delays could be tolerated in some locations (ie inner city conditions) and on some roads (ie minor side street intersecting with a major arterial route).

| Level of <br> Service | Average Delay <br> per Vehicle <br> (secs/veh) | Traffic Signals, Roundabout | Give Way and Stop Signs |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A | less than 14 | Good operation. | Good operation. |
| B | 15 to 28 | Good with acceptable delays and spare <br> capacity. | Acceptable delays and spare capacity. |
| C | 29 to 42 | Satisfactory. | Satisfactory but accident study <br> required. |
| D | 43 to 56 | Operating near capacity. | Near capacity and accident study <br> required. |
| E | 57 to 70 | At capacity; at signals incidents will <br> cause excessive delays. <br> Roundabouts require other control <br> mode. | At capacity and requires other control <br> mode. |

## 3. Degree of Saturation (DS)

The DS is another measure of the operational performance of individual intersections.
For intersections controlled by traffic signals ${ }^{1}$ both queue length and delay increase rapidly as DS approaches 1 , and it is usual to attempt to keep DS to less than 0.9 . Values of DS in the order of 0.7 generally represent satisfactory intersection operation. When DS exceeds 0.9 queues can be anticipated.

For intersections controlled by a roundabout or GIVE WAY or STOP signs, satisfactory intersection operation is indicated by a DS of 0.8 or less.

[^0]
## 4. PARKING IMPLICATIONS

## Existing Kerbside Parking Restrictions

The existing kerbside parking restrictions which apply to the road network in the vicinity of the site are illustrated on Figure 8. Key features of those parking restrictions are:

- CLEARWAY restrictions along both sides of the Pacific Highway during commuter peak periods
- NO PARKING restrictions along the eastern side of the Pacific Highway in the vicinity of the site at all other times, including along the entire length of the site frontage
- 1 HOUR PARKING restrictions along the southern side of Gordon Avenue, including along the entire site frontage
- BUS ZONES located at regular intervals along both sides of the Pacific Highway, including just south of the site
- NO PARKING restrictions along the eastern side of Hammond Lane, south of Gordon Avenue intersection
- NO PARKING restrictions along the eastern side of Hammond Lane, south of Gordon Avenue.


## Off-Street Car Parking Provisions

Following discussions between Council and TfNSW, it is understood that an agreement has been reached that the following constrained parking rates should be applied to new developments in the Chatswood CBD:


| Land use |  | Parking rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residential | Studio | 0.5 spaces per dwelling |
|  | 1 -bed | 0.5 spaces per dwelling |
|  | $2+$ bed | 1 space per dwelling |
|  | Visitor | 1 space per 10 dwellings |
| Office |  | 1 space per 400 sqm GFA |
| Retail (<1000 sqm) |  | - |
| Retail $(>1000$ sqm) |  | 1 space per 300 sqm GFA |

Application of the above parking rates to the various components of the planning proposal yields an off-street car parking requirement of 79 spaces as set out below:

| Residents (81 apartments): | 70.0 spaces |
| :--- | ---: |
| Visitors: | 8.1 spaces |
| Retail $\left(205 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ : | 0.0 spaces |
| Commercial/business $\left(500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right):$ | 1.3 spaces |
| TOTAL: | $\mathbf{7 9 . 4}$ spaces |

However, the subject site is located within 800 metres of a railway station in the Sydney metropolitan area, and therefore the residential component of the Planning Proposal is also subject to the parking requirements specified in State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 Design Quality of Residential Flat Development (Amendment No 3), 2015 in the following terms:

30 Standards that cannot be used to refuse development consent or modification of development consent
(1) If an application for the modification of a development consent or a development application for the carrying out of development to which this Policy applies satisfies the following design criteria, the consent authority must not refuse the application because of those matters:
a) if the car parking for the building will be equal to, or greater than, the recommended minimum amount of car parking specified in Part 3J of the Apartment Design Guide.

Reference is therefore made to the Apartment Design Guide 2015, Section 3J - Bicycle and Car Parking document which nominates the following car parking requirements:

## Objective 3J-1

Car parking is provided based on proximity to public transport in metropolitan Sydney and centres in regional areas

For development in the following locations:

- on sites that are within 800 metres of a railway station or light rail stop in the Sydney Metropolitan Area; or
- on land zoned, and sites within 400 metres of land zoned, B3 Commercial Core, B4 Mixed Use or equivalent in a nominated regional centre
the minimum car parking requirements for residents and visitors is set out in the Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, or the car parking requirement prescribed by the relevant council, whichever is less.

The car parking needs for a development must be provided off street.

Comparison therefore needs to be drawn between the off-street car parking requirements for residential flat buildings outlined in the Council's WDCP and also in the RMS Guidelines to determine the lesser requirement. The relevant car parking rates outlined in the RMS Guidelines are reproduced below:

```
RMS Guidelines - High Density Residential Flat Buildings in Metro Regional Centres
0 . 4 \text { spaces per 1 bedroom unit}
0 . 7 \text { spaces per 2 bedroom unit}
1.2 spaces per }3\mathrm{ bedroom unit
1 space per 7 units for visitor parking
```

The minimum off-street car parking requirement applicable to the residential component of the planning proposal is 64 spaces, comprising 52 residential spaces and 12 visitor spaces as set out below:

|  | WDCP / TfNSW | SEPP 65/RMS Guidelines |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residents: | 70.0 spaces | 52.1 spaces |
| Visitors: | 8.1 spaces | 11.5 spaces |
| Total: | 78.1 spaces | $\mathbf{6 3 . 6}$ spaces |

Lesser Car Parking Requirement: 64 spaces

Accordingly, the minimum off-street car parking requirement applicable to the planning proposal is therefore 65 spaces as set out below:

| Residential (81 apartments): | 52.1 spaces (SEPP 65/RMS) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Visitors: | 11.5 spaces (SEPP 65/RMS) |
| Retail $\left(205 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right):$ | 0.0 spaces (DCP/TfNSW) |
| Commercial/business $\left(500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right):$ | 1.3 spaces (DCP/TfNSW) |
| TOTAL: | $\mathbf{6 4 . 9}$ spaces |

Whilst the number of parking spaces to be provided as part of the planning proposal is not yet known, it is clear that the above parking requirements can be satisfied within the provision of basement parking area on the subject site.

The geometric design layout of the future car parking facilities will ultimately be designed to comply with the relevant requirements specified in the Standards Australia publication Parking Facilities Part 1-Off-Street Car Parking AS2890.1:2004 and Parking Facilities Part 6-Off-Street Parking for People with Disabilities AS2890.6.

## Off-Street Motorcycle and Bicycle Parking Provisions

The motorcycle and bicycle parking requirements applicable to the development proposal are also specified in Willoughby Development Control Plan Part C. 4 - Transport Requirements for Development document in the following terms:

## Motorcycle

1 motorcycle space per 25 car spaces

Bicycle

| Residential (lockers): | 1 space per 10 units | plus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residential (rail/racks): | 1 space per 12 units |  |
| Commercial (lockers): | 1 space per $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | plus |
| Commercial (rail/racks): | 1 space per $2,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  |

Application of the above motorcycle and bicycle parking requirements to the various components of the planning proposal yields an off-street parking requirement of 4 motorcycle spaces, 9 bicycle lockers and 7 bicycle rails/racks.

Whilst the number of parking spaces to be provided as part of the planning proposal is not yet known, it is clear that the above parking requirements can be satisfied within the proposed of basement parking area on the subject site.

## Loading/Servicing Provisions

The proposed new mixed use building is expected to be serviced by a variety of commercial vehicles up to and including 8.8 m long MRV medium rigid trucks. A dedicated service area is to be provided on the ground floor level adjacent to the vehicular access driveway which includes a large turntable.

The manoeuvring area has been designed to accommodate the swept turning path requirements of these 8.8 m long rigid trucks, allowing them to enter and exit the site whilst travelling in a forward direction at all times, as per the attached swept turning path diagrams.

The geometric design layout of the proposed loading facilities will also ultimately be designed to comply with the relevant requirements specified in the Standards Australia publication Parking Facilities Part 2-Off-Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities AS2890.2 in respect of loading dock dimensions and service area requirements for MRV trucks.

## Conclusion

Based on the analysis and discussions presented within this report, the following conclusions are made:

- the planning proposal seeks approval to increase the allowable FSR and height controls for the site, resulting in the potential for approximately 81 apartments and approximately $705 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail/commercial floor space
- the SIDRA capacity analysis of the Pacific Highway and Gordon Avenue intersection indicates that:
- the projected additional traffic flows as a consequence of the planning proposal will not have any adverse effects on the operational performance of the intersection, and
- no road improvements or intersection upgrades would be required as a consequence of the planning proposal
- retail/commercial and visitor peak parking demand periods generally occur at different times - i.e. retail/commercial parking is typically busiest during the day whilst visitor parking is typically busiest during the evenings. The potential therefore exists for the retail/commercial customers and residential visitors to utilise the same non-residential parking area
- the future car, motorcycle, bicycle and loading facilities will ultimately be provided and designed in accordance with Council's requirements, SEPP 65 and the relevant Australian Standards
- the future vehicular access arrangements will be designed in accordance with Council and RMS requirements.

It is therefore reasonable to conclude that the planning proposal will not have any unacceptable implications in terms of road network capacity or off-street parking/loading requirements.





| Client | : Varga Traffic Planning |
| :---: | :--- |
| Job No/Name | :6478 CHATSWOOD Gordon Ave |
| Day/Date | :Thursday 8th June 2017 |


| PEDS | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy | Gordon Ave | Pacific Hwy | TOT |
| $0630-0645$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $0645-0700$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $0700-0715$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $0715-0730$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $0730-0745$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $0745-0800$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $0800-0815$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| $0815-0830$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $0830-0845$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| $0845-0900$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $0900-0915$ | 0 | 8 | 0 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| $0915-0930$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Per End | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |  |
| Peak Per | Pacific Hwy | Gordon Ave | Pacific Hwy | TOT |
| $0630-0730$ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $0645-0745$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| $0700-0800$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| $0715-0815$ | 0 | 11 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| $0730-0830$ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| $0745-0845$ | 0 | 10 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| $0800-0900$ | 0 | 8 | 0 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| $0815-0915$ | 0 | 11 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| $0830-0930$ | 0 | 16 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |


| Lights | NORTH |  | EAST |  | SOUTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy |  | Gordon Ave |  | Pacific Hwy |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{\text { I }}$ | $\underline{L}$ | R | $\underline{L}$ | R | I | TOT |
| 0630-0645 | 428 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 430 |
| 0645-0700 | 419 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 421 |
| 0700-0715 | 482 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 484 |
| 0715-0730 | 399 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 402 |
| 0730-0745 | 338 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 345 |
| 0745-0800 | 454 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 459 |
| 0800-0815 | 338 | 4 |  | 3 |  |  | 345 |
| 0815-0830 | 332 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 338 |
| 0830-0845 | 437 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 443 |
| 0845-0900 | 446 | 2 |  | 7 |  |  | 455 |
| 0900-0915 | 357 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  | 366 |
| 0915-0930 | 419 | 7 |  | 4 |  |  | 430 |
| Per End | 4849 | 33 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 4918 |


| Heavies | NORTH |  | EAST |  | SOUTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy |  | Gordon Ave |  | Pacific Hwy |  |  |
| Time Per | I | $\underline{L}$ | R | $\underline{L}$ | R | I | TOT |
| 0630-0645 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| 0645-0700 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 5 |
| 0700-0715 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 10 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 0730-0745 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |
| 0745-0800 | 11 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |
| 0800-0815 | 8 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  | 9 |
| 0815-0830 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |
| 0830-0845 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |
| 0845-0900 | 10 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 11 |
| 0900-0915 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 16 |
| 0915-0930 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |
| Per End | 102 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 107 |


| Combined | NORTH |  | EAST |  | SOUTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy |  | Gordon Ave |  | Pacific Hwy |  |  |
| Time Per | I | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | I | TOT |
| 0630-0645 | 434 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 438 |
| 0645-0700 | 424 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 426 |
| 0700-0715 | 492 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 494 |
| 0715-0730 | 399 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| 0730-0745 | 347 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| 0745-0800 | 465 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 471 |
| 0800-0815 | 346 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| 0815-0830 | 341 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 347 |
| 0830-0845 | 446 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 452 |
| 0845-0900 | 456 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 466 |
| 0900-0915 | 373 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 382 |
| 0915-0930 | 428 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 439 |
| Per End | 4951 | 36 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 5025 |


| Lights | NORTH |  | EAST |  | SOUTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy |  | Gordon Ave |  | Pacific Hwy |  |  |
| Peak Per | I | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | I | TOT |
| 0630-0730 | 1728 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1737 |
| 0645-0745 | 1638 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1652 |
| 0700-0800 | 1673 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1690 |
| 0715-0815 | 1529 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1551 |
| 0730-0830 | 1462 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1487 |
| 0745-0845 | 1561 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1585 |
| 0800-0900 | 1553 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1581 |
| 0815-0915 | 1572 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1602 |
| 0830-0930 | 1659 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1694 |


| Heavies | NORTH |  | EAST |  | SOUTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy |  | Gordon Ave |  | Pacific Hwy |  |  |
| Peak Per | I | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | R | I | TOT |
| 0630-0730 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 0645-0745 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 0700-0800 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 0715-0815 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 0730-0830 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 0745-0845 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 0800-0900 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 0815-0915 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 0830-0930 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| PEAK HR | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |


| Combined | NORTH |  | EAST |  | SOUTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pacific Hwy |  | Gordon Ave |  | Pacific Hwy |  |  |
| Peak Per | I | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{R}$ | I | TOT |
| 0630-0730 | 1749 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1760 |
| 0645-0745 | 1662 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1676 |
| 0700-0800 | 1703 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1721 |
| 0715-0815 | 1557 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1581 |
| 0730-0830 | 1499 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1526 |
| 0745-0845 | 1598 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1624 |
| 0800-0900 | 1589 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1619 |
| 0815-0915 | 1616 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1647 |
| 0830-0930 | 1703 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1739 |
| PEAK HR | 1749 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1760 |






| Lights | R.O.A.R. DATA <br> Reliable, Original \& Authentic Results Ph.88196847, Mob.0418-239019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 0630-0645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0645-0700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| Period End | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 52 |
| Heavies | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 0630-0645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0645-0700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Period End | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Combined |  | ORT |  |  | EST |  |  | UT |  |  | AS |  |  |
|  | Ham | ond | ane |  | don |  | Ham | nd | ne |  | don |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 0630-0645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 0645-0700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| Period End | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 57 |


| Client Job No/Name Day/Date |  | : Varga Traffic Planning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | : 6478 CHATSWOOD Gordon Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Thu | day | J | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lights |  | IORTH |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Peak Time | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | L | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 0630-0730 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 0645-0745 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 0700-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 0715-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| 0730-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| 0745-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
| 0800-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 18 |
| 0815-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| 0830-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 21 |
| PEAK HOUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 |


| Heavies | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Peak Per | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 0630-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0645-0745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0700-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0715-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0730-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0745-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0800-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 0815-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0830-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| PEAK HOUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Combined | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Peak Per | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 0630-0730 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 0645-0745 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 0700-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 0715-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 25 |
| 0730-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 24 |
| 0745-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 20 |
| 0800-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 20 |
| 0815-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| 0830-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 22 |

\author{

| PEAK HOUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

}


| Lights | R.O.A.R. DATA <br> Reliable, Original \& Authentic Results <br> Ph.88196847, Mob.0418-239019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Period End | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 48 |
| Heavies | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | $\underline{\text { R }}$ | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Period End | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Combined |  | ORT |  |  | EST |  |  | UT |  |  | AS |  |  |
|  | Ham | ond | ane |  | on |  | Ham | nd | ane |  | on |  |  |
| Time Per | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 1530-1545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1545-1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Period End | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 48 |


| Client Job No/Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | : Varga Traffic Planning |  |  |  |  | Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day/Date |  | : Thurs day 8th June 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lights |  | NORTH |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Peak Time | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | $\underline{R}$ | TOT |
| 1530-1630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| 1545-1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 |
| 1600-1700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| 1615-1715 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| 1630-1730 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 1645-1745 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| 1700-1800 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 1715-1815 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 1730-1830 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 18 |


| PEAK HOUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Heavies | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Peak Per | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | $\underline{\text { I }}$ | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | $\underline{R}$ | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 1530-1630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1545-1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1600-1700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1615-1715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1630-1730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1645-1745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1700-1800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1715-1815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1730-1830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| PEAK HOUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Combined | NORTH |  |  | WEST |  |  | SOUTH |  |  | EAST |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  | Hammond Lane |  |  | Gordon Ave |  |  |  |
| Peak Per | $\underline{\underline{L}}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | I | R | $\underline{L}$ | I | R | TOT |
| 1530-1630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| 1545-1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 |
| 1600-1700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| 1615-1715 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| 1630-1730 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 1645-1745 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| 1700-1800 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 1715-1815 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | , | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 1730-1830 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 18 |

[^1]



[^0]:    1 The values of DS for intersections under traffic signal control are only valid for cycle length of 120 secs.

[^1]:    | PEAK HOUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

